

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/664,066	Applicant(s) HONG ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin M. Bernatz	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) James Folker.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 February 2006

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the Examiner proposed claim amendments to bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)